

VÚB, a. s., Mlynské nivy 1, 829 90 Bratislava,
Registered in: Business Register of Municipal Court Bratislava III,
Section: Sa, File No.: 341/B, Company Registration No.: 31320155,
www.vub.sk

POLICIES ON THE INTEGRATION OF SUSTAINABILITY RISKS AND STATEMENT ON PRINCIPAL ADVERSE IMPACTS OF ADVISORY ON SUSTAINABILITY FACTORS

Notice:

This document is prepared to provide clients with information according to Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 November 2019 on sustainability-related disclosures in the financial services sector. All rights reserved.

Produced by:

Compliance Office VÚB, a. s.

compliance@vub.sk

Updated: December 11, 2023

Obsah

INTRODUCTION 3

REGULATORY FRAMEWORK 3

THE ROLE OF SUSTAINABILITY FOR THE ISP GROUP 3

THE INTEGRATION OF SUSTAINABILITY RISKS INTO THE ADVISORY SERVICE MODEL..... 4

ANNEX 6

1. GLOSSARY..... 6

2. LIST OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS (SDGS). 6

3. LIST AND DESCRIPTION OF PRINCIPAL ADVERSE IMPACTS ON SUSTAINABILITY FACTORS (PAI) 6

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this document, prepared in accordance with articles 3 and 4 of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 of the European Parliament and of the Council on sustainability-related disclosures in the financial services sector (hereinafter also „SFDR regulation“) is to:

- Publish politics with respect to the integration of ESG – environmental, social and governance sustainability risks implemented by VÚB, a.s., LEI: 549300JB1P61FUTPEZ75 (hereinafter also „Bank“), as part of international group Intesa Sanpaolo (hereinafter also „ISP“).
- Provide information on how the Bank considers Principle Adverse Impacts¹ on Sustainability factors in the field of investment advisory and insurance distribution advice.

This document applies to the company's activities and processes for selecting issuers and defining the catalogue of financial products and providing the advisory service.

REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

Clients interest in environmentally, socially and governance sustainable investments has grown in recent years also thanks to the push by the European institutions, which on 11 December 2019, presented the European Green Deal, i.e. a series of measures aimed at making energy production and the lifestyle of European citizens more sustainable and less harmful to the environment, with the goal of transforming the European Union into a climate-neutral society by 2050.

With a view of promoting sustainable investments, the European Parliament issued the SFDR regulation at the end of 2019, aimed at identifying and standardising information to end investors in following areas:

- the integration of sustainability risks,
- consideration of principal adverse impacts,
- the promotion of environmental or social characteristics and sustainable investments

by placing specific transparency obligation on financial market participants and financial advisers.

The growing interest of investors, their increased awareness of the importance of sustainability issues, as well as the evolution of regulatory framework have favoured a never wider diffusion of financial products promoting environmental/social features or with sustainable investment objectives. To foster the consideration of clients sustainability preferences in current investment processes, as well as in the provision of advisory service, the regulatory framework has been further extended by the European legislator through the following regulations:

- Regulation (EU) 2020/852 (hereinafter also „Taxonomy Regulation“) establishing a unified classification system (so-called taxonomy) for environmentally sustainable activities;
- Delegated Regulation (EU) 2021/1253 amending Delegated Regulation (EU) 2017/565 as regards the integration of sustainability factors, sustainability risks and sustainability preferences into certain organisational requirement and operating conditions of investment firms' activities;
- Delegated Regulation (EU) 2021/1257 amending Delegated Regulations (EU) 2017/2358 and (EU) 2017/2359 as regards the integration of sustainability factors, sustainability risks and sustainability preferences in the product control and product governance requirements for insurance undertakings and distributors of insurance products and in the conduct of business rules and investment advice for insurance investment product;
- Delegated Directive (EU) 2021/1269 amending Delegated Directive (EU) 2017/593 with regard to the integration of sustainability factors into product governance obligations.

THE ROLE OF SUSTAINABILITY FOR THE ISP GROUP

The ISP Group, which the bank is part of, is committed to strengthening its leadership in Corporate Social Responsibility, aiming to become a reference model in terms of environmental and social sustainability. Through the establishment of a dedicated central structure, the ISP pursues the objective of promptly monitoring projects, initiatives, and quantitative performance indicators in the field of sustainability and to fully inform the market and all stakeholders of the results achieved during the year, also testifying to the constant proactive focus of the IPS Group on sustainability issues.

The ISP Group has also put in place specific processes and responsibilities to understand and manage risks to ensure long-term soundness and business continuity, extending benefits to its stakeholders. The ISP's approach to sustainability can be declined in the following pillars:

- Support for social needs, with contributions in the form of investments and donations,
- A strong focus on financial inclusion through social credit,
- Continuous commitment to culture,

¹ Principal Adverse Impacts –PAI, represent adverse significant or likely significant impacts on sustainability factors that are caused by or directly related to investment decisions and investment advice.

- Promotion of innovation,
- A strong focus on climate and environmental initiatives with the aim of allocating economic resources to the community and green transition, as well as to support people in need.

ISP Group is also pursuing the goal of zeroing its net emissions by 2030 and by 2050 for its loan and investment portfolios, asset management and insurance business. The commitment to achieving zero emissions is evidenced by membership in *Net Zero Banking Alliance (NZBA)*, *Net Zero Asset Managers Initiative (NZAMI)*, *Net Zero Asset Owner Alliance (NZAOA)* and *Net Zero Insurance Alliance (NZIA)*.

THE INTEGRATION OF SUSTAINABILITY RISKS INTO THE ADVISORY SERVICE MODEL

The Bank as part of ISP has adopted in line with commitment to sustainable economic development and in accordance with principles outlined in the Code of Ethics and aware of the importance of allocating resources according to social and environmental sustainability criteria for long-term value creation, an internal regulatory framework in relation to the governance of environmental, social and governance risks.

Within the framework of internal document *Rules for the Governance of environmental, social and governance (ESG) risks* outline with reference to these risks:

- The general principles underlying the steering and management process,
- The tasks and responsibilities of the corporate functions and control bodies and other involved functions of the Bank in the governance process,
- The ESG risks monitoring model,
- The governance of macro-processes.

With reference to the investment policies and services rendered to clients, internal rules commit the Bank to:

- integrating ESG factors into investment analysis and decision-making, criteria for the selection of issuers and asset management companies, including in relation to specific benchmarks,
- progressively expanding the monitoring of financial assets in terms of ESG, as well as the extension of its proposal of sustainable financial products,
- promoting themed and impact investments, through which the Bank can play an active role in helping to mitigate environmental or social problems such as the challenges of climate change, resource depletion, economic and social inequalities,
- provision of clear and understandable information to clients about financial products with sustainable characteristics or products with sustainable investment objectives,
- offering clients an advisory service with a high level of protection and, as part of the client profiling process, collect information on any investment preferences regarding sustainability.

In relation to the decision-making process for the selection of issuers and asset management companies the Bank provides for the analysis of counterparties with respect to sustainability risk and adherence to relevant regulations. In this regard, the Bank analysed the degree of compliance and implementation of sustainability issues by the companies whose products may be included in its offer catalogue investigating the safeguards adopted by the asset management companies and insurance companies in relation to the following issues:

- ESG Principles/Policies/Codes: adherence to national and global ESG principles and/or codes (UN PRI², Codes of Conduct);
- Corporate Governance: Implementation of an internal regulatory framework and definition of internal bodies focused on sustainability;
- Adoption of policies, integration of sustainability risks, remuneration and disclosure on websites;
- Sustainable product range under SFDR regulation: with reference to the current and prospective range, integration of the Prospectus, and implementation of an ESG scoring system also through the use of infoproviders;
- Consideration of PAIs defined under SFDR regulation, frequency of monitoring of PAIs and publication of the PAI Integration Policy on websites;
- Other initiatives such as organising internal and external ESG training events for the distributors.

The analysis already carried out on all existing counterparties, must be performed during the on-boarding of the asset management companies (product companies) with which a new distribution or brokerage agreement is to be signed and is formalised within the Product Governance processes.

With a view to strengthening controls in terms of sustainability risks, the Bank has planned to increasingly direct and expand its offer towards financial products that promote environmental and/or social characteristics (pursuant to Art. 8 SFDR regulation) or that pursue sustainable investment objectives (pursuant to Art. 9 SFDR regulation).

2 PRI - Principles for Responsible Investment

To this end the Bank focuses on expansion of the product catalogue under Investment Advisory model and as a part of the Product Governance processes, the Bank examines the pre-contractual and contractual documentation prepared by the asset management companies (product companies) and any supporting commercial documentation, also with regard to the factors relevant to sustainability and compliance with the relevant regulatory provisions.

In connection with the foregoing, as part of the procedures adopted for the provision of advisory services³ the Bank ensures to:

- collect information (sustainability preferences) from clients during profiling, in order to gain insight into their possible interest in investment solutions that value environmental, social and good governance factors;
- define internal rules for the selection of products that can be considered consistent with the sustainability preferences expressed by clients.

During profiling, the Bank investigates whether the Client is interested in integrating financial products that take into account sustainability factors, i.e. environmental (E), social (S) and good governance (G) aspects into their portfolio, and if so:

- which sustainability factors the Client intends to give preference (E/S/G or their combination);
- the minimum percentage (%) of the portfolio that the Client intends to allocate to investments aimed at satisfying these sustainability preferences.

The Bank has adopted a classification model for the financial products included in its range of offerings that reference to:

- equities and bonds – individual financial instruments qualified using 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in environmental and social terms defined in 2015 by the Paris Agreement. The methodology provides that the individual issuer (and consequently, the securities issued by them) is qualified as sustainable if at least one SDF is aligned with these goals and no SDG is not, while neutral situations are acceptable. It is however possible that a specific issue of a non-sustainable issuer can be considered sustainable if it has specific sustainability characteristics, e.g. due to punctual constraints on the use of the related funding (so-called „Sustainable/Green Bonds“ or „ESG Bonds“).

For the purposes of classifying this type of security, it is verified that the individual issue is structured in accordance with one of the internationally recognised frameworks.

- Mutual funds (*UCITs*), insurance based investment products (*IBIPs*), products based on portfolio management – based on the classification provided by the product companies according to the requirements of the SFDR regulation. Taking into account the information acquired from the Product Companies, the consistency of products with sustainability preferences if they meet at least one of the following conditions:
 - products classified by Product Companies under Art. 8 SFDR regulation (which promote environmental and social characteristics under the SFDR regulation) are considered consistent with the Client's sustainability preferences if they meet at least one of the following conditions:
 - ▷ Minimum percentage (%) investment under the SFDR regulation equal to 10% in turn determined using 17 SDGs in environmental and social terms, according to the methodology described for equities and bonds;
 - ▷ Minimum percentage (%) of environmentally sustainable investment in accordance with the Taxonomy Regulation of 5%;
 - ▷ Consideration of at least one of the PAIs⁴ as indicators on investment decisions on assets under management at the intermediary and product, environmental and social levels;
 - Products classified by Product Companies under Art. 9 of the SFDR regulation (which, therefore, under the SFDR have sustainable investments as their objective) are always considered consistent with the Client's sustainability preferences;
 - Products classified by Product Companies as not Art. 8 and 9 SFDR are always considered as not consistent with Client's sustainability preferences;
- certificates - highlight the characteristics of both the issuer and the underlying. At the issuer level, it is verified whether the issuer qualifies as sustainable according to the methodology represented for shares and bonds. As far

3 The Bank provides to client investment service Investment Advisory. In the context of financial intermediation of insurance based investment products, the Bank is authorised to carry out only financial intermediation (professional assistance, information and recommendations that are suitable for the client), i.e. it does not carry financial advisory in relation to distribution on insurance based investment product. For more information see the document Responsible Investment published on www.vub.sk.

4 These products are considered to be consistent with the sustainability preferences expressed by Clients in terms of:

- Environmental (E): if they consider at least one mandatory environmental PAI within their investment policy;
- Social (S): if they consider at least one compulsory social PAI in their investment policy.

To be rated E and/or S, financial products must also comply with good governance practices and, consequently, such products are also considered consistent with the sustainability preferences expressed by clients in terms of "G - good governance".

as underlyings are concerned, the methodology applied differs depending on the type of underlying relevant at the time, the same criteria being applied as described above depending on whether the underlying consists of asset management products or of shares, bonds and related indices. On the other hand, interest rate, exchange rate or commodity indices are not considered suitable to supplement the sustainability requirement.

The classification is also applied to asset administration products traded on the secondary market for which issuers make available to the market - in a standardised format - the information required by the SFDR, the Taxonomy Regulation and/or on compliance with the SDGs.

The Advisory model envisages a check aimed at verifying the consistency between the sustainability preferences indicated by the Client during profiling and the financial products offered by the Bank or traded on the secondary market, whereby an investment transaction that results in client's portfolio percentage (%) being greater than or equal to the minimum percentage (%) chosen by the client during the profiling phase in terms of sustainability is considered to be consistent. If this percentage is lower than the minimum percentage chosen by the client during the profiling process, but at the same time there is an increase in value compared to the original portfolio percentage (closer to the value requested by the client), the transaction is also considered to be consistent. If the transaction is inadequate, the reasons for it are explained to the Client so they can assess whether to change their sustainability preferences in relation to the specific transaction and proceed to the conclusion of the transaction.

The rules and criteria described have been defined taking into account the current market context, in

which - due to both the timing of the new European sustainability rules and the absence of shared market practices and indicators for assessing companies and investments - complete, consolidated and

accurate information on the sustainability characteristics (integration of ESG criteria) of investment products and their issuers is not yet available. The Bank will ensure the rules and criteria described are refined on an ongoing basis, at the same time updating this document.

ANNEX

1. GLOSSARY

Sustainable investment: an investment in an economic activity contributing to an environmental objective, measured, for example, by key resource efficiency indicators relating to energy use, use of renewable energies, use of raw materials and water resources and land use, waste generation, greenhouse gas emissions, as well as impact on biodiversity and the circular economy or an investment in an economic activity contributing to a social objective, in particular an investment contributing to the fight against inequality, or promoting social cohesion, social inclusion and industrial relations, or an investment in human capital or economically or socially disadvantaged communities provided that such investment does not significantly harm any of these objectives and that the enterprises benefiting from such investment comply with good governance practices, particularly with regard to sound management structures, staff relations, staff remuneration and compliance with tax obligations (SFDR Regulation)

Eco-sustainable investment: investment in one or more economic activities that are considered environmentally sustainable because (i) they contribute substantially to achieving one or more of the following environmental objectives: climate change mitigation, climate change adaptation, sustainable use and protection of water and marine resources, transition to a circular economy, prevention and reduction of pollution, and protection and restoration of biodiversity and ecosystems, (ii) they do not significantly harm any of the above environmental objectives (Taxonomy Regulation).

Principal Adverse Impacts (PAI): represent the so-called "negative externalities" of economic activities, i.e. the effects of investment decisions and investment advice that lead to negative impacts on sustainability factors. PAIs are listed in the Regulatory Technical Standards (RTS) to the SFDR and are differentiated by the environmental and social components and divided into mandatory and optional.

2. LIST OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS (SDGS)

1. No poverty; 2. Zero Hunger; 3. Good Health and Well-being; 4. Quality education; 5. Gender equality; 6. Clean water and sanitation; 7. Affordable and Clean energy; 8. Decent work and economic growth; 9. Industry, innovation and infrastructure; 10. Reduced inequalities; 11. Sustainable cities and communities; 12. Responsible consumption and production; 13. Climate action; 14. Life below water; 15. Life on land; 16. Peace, justice and strong institutions; 17. Partnership for the goals.

3. LIST AND DESCRIPTION OF PRINCIPAL ADVERSE IMPACTS ON SUSTAINABILITY FACTORS (PAI)

| MANDATORY PAI INDICATORS | | |
|--|---|---|
| Indicators applicable to investments in Investee Companies | | |
| Climate and Other Environment-Related Indicators | | |
| Type | PAI Indicator | Metric |
| Greenhouse gas emissions | 1. GHG emissions | Scope 1 GHG emissions |
| | | Scope 2 GHG emissions |
| | | Scope 3 GHG emissions |
| | | Total GHG emissions |
| | 2. carbon footprint | Carbon footprint |
| | 3. GHG intensity of the investee companies | GHG gas intensity of the investee companies |
| | 4. Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector | Share of investments in companies active in the fossil fuel sector |
| | 5. Share of non-renewable energy consumption and production | Share of non-renewable energy consumption and non-renewable energy production of investee companies from non-renewable energy sources compared to renewable energy sources, expressed as a percentage of total sources |
| | 6. Energy consumption intensity per high climate impact sector. | Energy consumption expressed in GWh per million EUR of revenue of the investee companies, per high climate impact sector |
| Biodiversity | 7. Activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas | Share of investments in investee companies with sites/ operations located in or near to biodiversity-sensitive areas where activities of those investee companies negatively affect those areas |
| Water | 8. Emissions to water | Tonnes of emissions to water generated by investee companies per million EUR invested, expressed as a weighted average |
| Waste | 9. Hazardous waste and radioactive waste ratio | Tonnes of hazardous waste and radioactive waste generated by investee companies per million EUR invested, expressed as a weighted average |
| Indicators for social and employee, respect for human rights, Anti-Corruption and Anti-Bribery matters | | |
| Social and employee matters | 10. Violations of UN Global Compact principles and Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises | Share of investments in investee companies that have been involved in violations of the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises |
| | 11. Lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises | Share of investments in investee companies without policies to monitor compliance with the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises or grievance/complaints handling mechanisms to address violations of the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises |
| | 12. Unadjusted gender pay gap | Average unadjusted gender pay gap of investee companies |
| | 13. Board gender diversity | Average ratio of female to male board members in investee companies, expressed as a percentage of all board members |
| | 14. Exposure to controversial weapons (anti-personnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) | Share of investments in investee companies involved in the manufacture or selling of controversial weapons |

| Indicators applicable to investments in Sovereigns and Supranationals | | |
|---|---|--|
| Environmental | 15. GHG intensity | GHG intensity of investee countries |
| Social | 16. Investee countries subject to social violations | Number of investee countries subject to social violations (absolute number and relative number divided by all investee countries), as referred to in international treaties and conventions, United Nations principles and, where applicable, national law |
| Indicators applicable to investments in Real Estate assets | | |
| Fossil fuels | 17. Exposure to fossil fuels through real estate assets | Share of investments in real estate assets involved in the extraction, storage, transport or manufacture of fossil fuels |
| Energy efficiency | 18. Exposure to energy-inefficient real estate assets | Share of investments in energy-inefficient real estate assets |

| OPTIONAL PAI INDICATORS | | |
|---|---|---|
| Additional Climate and Other Environment-Related Indicators | | |
| Indicators applicable to Investments in Investee Companies | | |
| Type | PAI Indicator | Metric |
| Emissions | 1. Emissions of inorganic pollutants | Tonnes of inorganic pollutants equivalent per million EUR invested, expressed as a weighted average |
| | 2. Emissions of air pollutants | Tonnes of air pollutants equivalent per million EUR invested, expressed as a weighted average |
| | 3. Emissions of ozone-depleting substances | Tonnes of ozone-depleting substances equivalent per million EUR invested, expressed as a weighted average |
| | 4. Investments in companies without carbon emission reduction initiatives | Share of investments in investee companies without carbon emission reduction initiatives aimed at aligning with the Paris Agreement |
| Energy performance | 5. Breakdown of energy consumption by type of non-renewable sources of energy | Share of energy from non-renewable sources used by investee companies broken down by each non-renewable energy source |
| Water, waste and material emissions | 6. Water use | 1. Average amount of water consumed by the investee companies (in cubic meters) per million EUR of revenue of investee companies 2. Weighted average percentage of water recycled and reused by investee companies |
| | 7. Investments in companies without water management policies | Share of investments in investee companies without water management policies |
| | 8. Exposure to areas of high water stress | Share of investments in investee companies with sites located in areas of high water stress without a water management policy |
| | 9. Investments in companies producing chemicals | Share of investments in investee companies the activities of which fall under Division 20.2 of Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1893/2006 |
| | 10. Land degradation, desertification, soil sealing | Share of investments in investee companies the activities of which cause land degradation, desertification or soil sealing |
| | 11. Investments in companies without sustainable land/agriculture practices | Share of investments in investee companies without sustainable land/agriculture practices or policies |
| | 12. Investments in companies without sustainable oceans/seas practices | Share of investments in investee companies without sustainable oceans/seas practices or policies |
| | 13. Non-recycled waste ratio | Tonnes of non-recycled waste generated by investee companies per million EUR invested, expressed as a weighted average |

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| | 14. Natural species and protected areas | 1. Share of investments in investee companies whose operations affect threatened species 2. Share of investments in investee companies without a biodiversity protection policy covering operational sites owned, leased, managed in, or adjacent to, a protected area or an area of high biodiversity value outside protected areas |
| | 15. Deforestation | Share of investments in companies without a policy to address deforestation |
| Green securities | 16. Share of securities not issued under Union legislation on environmentally sustainable bonds | Share of securities in investments not issued under Union legislation on environmentally sustainable bonds |
| Indicators applicable to investments in Sovereigns and Supranationals | | |
| Green securities | 17. Share of bonds not issued under Union legislation on environmentally sustainable bonds | Share of bonds not issued under Union legislation on environmentally sustainable bonds |
| Indicators applicable to investments in Real Estate assets | | |
| Greenhouse gas emissions | 18. GHG emissions | Scope 1 GHG emissions generated by the Real Estate assets Scope 2 GHG emissions generated by the Real Estate assets Scope 3 GHG emissions generated by the Real Estate assets Total GHG emissions generated by the Real Estate assets |
| Energy consumption | 19. Energy consumption intensity | Energy consumption in GWh of owned real estate assets per square meter |
| Waste | 20. Waste production in operations | Share of real estate assets not equipped with facilities for waste sorting and not covered by a waste recovery or recycling contract |
| Resource consumption | 21. Raw materials consumption for new construction and major renovations | Share of raw building materials (excluding recovered, recycled and biosourced) compared to the total weight of building materials used in new construction and major renovations |
| Biodiversity | 22. Land artificialisation | Share of non-vegetated surface area (surfaces that have not been vegetated in ground, as well as on roofs, terraces and walls) compared to the total surface area of the plots of all assets |
| Indicators on social and employee, respect for human rights, Anti-Corruption and Anti-Bribery matters | | |
| Indicators applicable to Investments in Investee Companies | | |
| Social and employee matters | 1. Investments in companies without workplace accident prevention policies | Share of investments in investee companies without a workplace accident prevention policy |
| | 2. Rate of accidents | Rate of accidents in investee companies expressed as a weighted average |
| | 3. Number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness | Number of workdays lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness of investee companies expressed as a weighted average |
| | 4. Lack of a supplier code of conduct | Share of investments in investee companies without any supplier code of conduct (against unsafe working conditions, precarious work, child labour and forced labour) |
| | 5. Lack of grievance/complaints handling mechanism related to employee matters | Share of investments in investee companies without any grievance/complaints handling mechanism related to employee matters |

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| | 6. Insufficient whistleblower protection | Share of investments in entities without policies on the protection of whistleblowers |
| | 7. Incidents of discrimination | 1. Number of incidents of discrimination reported in investee companies expressed as a weighted average 2. Number of incidents of discrimination leading to sanctions in investee companies expressed as a weighted average |
| | 8. Excessive CEO pay ratio | Average ratio within investee companies of the annual total compensation for the highest compensated individual to the median annual total compensation for all employees (excluding the highest-compensated individual) |
| Human rights | 9. Lack of a human rights policy | Share of investments in entities without a human rights policy |
| | 10. Lack of due diligence | Share of investments in entities without a due diligence process to identify, prevent, mitigate and address adverse human rights impacts |
| | 11. Lack of processes and measures for preventing trafficking in human beings | Share of investments in investee companies without policies against trafficking in human beings |
| | 12. Operations and suppliers at significant risk of incidents of child labour | Share of investments in investee companies exposed to operations and suppliers at significant risk of incidents of child labour in terms of geographic areas or type of operation |
| | 13. Operations and suppliers at significant risk of incidents of forced or compulsory labour | Share of the investments in investee companies exposed to operations and suppliers at significant risk of incidents of forced or compulsory labour in terms in terms of geographic areas and/or the type of operation |
| | 14. Number of identified cases of severe human rights issues and incidents | Number of cases of severe human rights issues and incidents connected to investee companies on a weighted average basis |
| Anti-Corruption and Anti-Bribery | 15. Lack of anti-corruption and anti-bribery policies | Share of investments in entities without policies on anti-corruption and anti-bribery consistent with the United Nations Convention against Corruption |
| | 16. Cases of insufficient action taken to address breaches of standards of anti-corruption and anti-bribery | Share of investments in investee companies with identified insufficiencies in actions taken to address breaches in procedures and standards of anti-corruption and anti-bribery |
| | 17. Number of convictions and amount of fines for violation of anti-corruption and anti-bribery laws | Numbers of convictions and amount of fines for violations of anti-corruption and anti-bribery laws by investee companies |
| Indicators applicable to Investments in Sovereign and Supranationals | | |
| Social | 18. Average income inequality score | The distribution of income and economic inequality among the participants in a particular economy* |
| | 19. Average freedom of expression score | Measuring the extent to which political and civil society organizations in society can operate freely* |
| Human rights | 20. Average human rights performance | Measure of the average human rights performance of investee countries * |

| | | |
|------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| Governance | 21. Average corruption score | Measure of the perceived level of public sector corruption* |
| | 22. Non-cooperative tax jurisdictions | Investments in jurisdictions on the EU list of non-cooperative jurisdictions with for tax purposes |
| | 23. Average political stability score | Measure of the likelihood that the current regime will be overthrown by the use of force* |
| | 24. Average rule of law score | Measure of the level of corruption, lack of fundamental rights, and deficiencies in civil and criminal justice* |

* The metrics display also includes the use of a quantitative indicator specific to the individual PAI and explained by the market participant in the "Explanation" column of the PAI Statement.